1	BEFORE THE			
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION			
3				
4	COUNTIES OF SOUTHERN ILLINOIS )			
	)			
5	Petition for authority to operate ) DOCKET NO.			
	a Regional Next Generation 911 ) 12-0094			
6	Pilot Project System. )			
7				
	Wednesday, February 6, 2013			
8				
	Springfield, Illinois			
9				
10	Met, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.			
11				
	BEFORE:			
12				
	JAN VON QUALEN & JOHN ALBERS, ALJS			
13				
14	APPEARANCES:			
15	JOHN KELLY			
	1804 N. Naper Blvd.			
16	Suite 350			
	Naperville, Illinois 60563			
17				
	(Appearing on behalf of			
18	Petitioner via teleconference.)			
19				
20				
21	L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC, by			
	Laurel Patkes, Reporter			
22	CSR #084-001340			

Τ	APPEARANCES: (CONT'D.)		
2	RICK HIRD		
	842 Louisiana Street		
3	Lawrence, Kansas 66044		
4	(Appearing on behalf of NG-911,		
	Inc. via teleconference.)		
5			
	NANCY HERTEL		
6	225 W. Randolph St.		
	Chicago, Illinois 60606		
7			
	(Appearing on behalf of AT&T		
8	Illinois via teleconference.)		
9	KAREN BOSWELL		
	104 W. Mulberry St.		
LO	Normal, Illinois 61761		
11	(Appearing on behalf of Frontie		
	Communications via		
12	teleconference.)		
13	KELLY ARMSTRONG		
	MEGAN McNEILL		
L4	MATTHEW HARVEY		
	160 N. LaSalle		
15	Suite C-800		
	Chicago, Illinois 60601		
16			
	(Appearing on behalf of staff o		
L7	the Illinois Commerce Commission		
	via teleconference.)		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

1		I N D E X	
2			
	WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS
3			
	None		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
		EXHIBITS	
14			
	None.		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- JUDGE ALBERS: By the authority vested in me by
- the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
- No. 12-0094. This docket was initiated by the
- <sup>5</sup> Counties of Southern Illinois and seeks authority to
- operate a regional next generation 911 pilot project
- <sup>7</sup> system.
- 8 May I have the appearances for the
- 9 record, please?
- MR. KELLY: On behalf of CSI, Attorney John
- 11 Kelly from Naperville, Illinois appearing on the
- 12 telephone.
- MR. HIRD: And this is Richard W. Hird, 842
- Louisiana, Lawrence, Kansas 66044, counsel for
- $^{15}$  NG-911, Inc.
- Also appearing with me are Michael
- Ramsey, CEO and president of the company, and Bart
- 18 Lovett, the project manager.
- MS. ARMSTRONG: Appearing on behalf of the
- staff of the Illinois Commerce Commission, Kelly
- 21 Armstrong and Megan McNeill, 160 North LaSalle Street
- Suite C-800 Chicago, Illinois.

- MS. HERTEL: Appearing on behalf of AT&T
- 2 Illinois, Nancy Hertel, 225 West Randolph, Chicago,
- 3 Illinois 60606.
- MS. BOSWELL: Appearing on behalf of Frontier
- <sup>5</sup> Communications, Karen Boswell, 104 West Mulberry
- 6 Street, Normal, Illinois 61761.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Are there any others wishing to
- 8 enter an appearance?
- 9 MR. HARVEY: For the staff of the Illinois
- 10 Commerce Commission, Your Honor, Matthew L. Harvey,
- 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800, Chicago,
- 12 Illinois 60601.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Any others?
- Let the record show no response.
- The only preliminary matter I want to
- mention today is that we need to be done by
- 10 o'clock because we're going to lose our court
- reporter then but I think we can take care of
- everything in the next 25 minutes or so.
- As noted in the ruling that we sent
- out yesterday afternoon, we asked the parties to come
- 22 prepared this morning to discuss a proposal on how to

- 1 move forward given that we've spent a year not doing
- much of that, so if anyone would like to begin,
- <sup>3</sup> please jump right in.
- 4 MR. KELLY: Your Honor, on behalf of CSI,
- obviously getting that order at 4 o'clock yesterday
- afternoon didn't give us a lot of time to come up
- with a grand plan to move this thing along.
- 8 We did have a chance to discuss, and
- <sup>9</sup> what we would ask and what we think is best is,
- number one, and I understand that we've said this
- before and you may be tired of hearing it but we are
- working on the contract with NG-911 and believe that
- that contract will be adopted by at least some of our
- members by March 1st. We actually have a contract.
- 15 It is now going out to the boards to be adopted.
- Additionally, what we would like to do
- is we would like to have a chance to talk with staff
- offline, it could be a phone conference or whatever,
- to discuss what their vision is and what our vision
- is for the pilot plan and moving it forward, and we'd
- like to do that sometime within the next couple weeks
- 22 and then have a further status date somewhere near

- the beginning of March, depending on the court's
- availability, to advise you of our discussions and
- hopefully have filed a contract with NG-911 and then
- 4 move forward.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Anybody have any comments on
- 6 that?
- 7 MR. HIRD: Your Honor, this is Rick Hird on
- 8 behalf of NG-911, Inc.
- 9 I would concur with what Mr. Kelly
- said. I think we are very close on the contract but
- we have some alternative ideas that we would like to
- discuss with staff informally, not necessarily on the
- record, but I think we've come up with some ideas on
- how we can effectively move this forward I believe.
- JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Anyone else?
- MR. HARVEY: Well, Your Honor, speaking for
- staff, this is Matt Harvey, we appreciate these
- overtures. That having been said, we are not the
- only parties to this proceeding. If there are going
- to be discussions, you know, I would certainly be
- somewhat uneasy about excluding other parties.
- MR. KELLY: I don't think it's our intention to

- 1 exclude anybody.
- MS. HERTEL: Nancy Hertel for AT&T Illinois.
- By way of clarification, Matt, are you
- 4 suggesting then that if there were discussions, it
- would be a conference call, and all parties would be
- 6 invited to attend or something like that because of
- <sup>7</sup> the ex parte rule?
- MR. HARVEY: This is Matt Harvey.
- 9 Am I fielding that?
- MS. HERTEL: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. I was
- addressing that to you Matt Harvey. I was wondering
- what kind of -- you said, you know, there were other
- parties, and I wondered whether you intended to
- include the other parties, how exactly.
- MR. HARVEY: I hadn't given that any thought,
- Nancy. My thinking was that any discussions we would
- have I guess would, you know, be however -- well,
- let's put it this way. It's sort of CSI's meeting,
- so I don't presume to tell them how they want to
- conduct it. It just was my concern that all parties
- $^{21}$  be there.
- MR. HERTEL: Okay. Thank you.

- MR. HARVEY: I'm sorry. That's a measly
- <sup>2</sup> answer.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Does anyone else want to weigh
- in on this before I talk to my co-ALJ?
- MS. McNEILL: This is Megan McNeill for staff,
- and I guess I just wanted to ask Mr. Kelly maybe what
- <sup>7</sup> he perceives happening in this meeting with staff and
- 8 the other parties.
- 9 Do you foresee us discussing another
- supplemental filing by CSI? I guess we'd just maybe
- like to know what we're going to be talking about.
- MR. KELLY: Well, due to the fact that the
- judge's order from yesterday posed four very specific
- issues, I think it would be our intent to address how
- to respond to those issues with staff, and as
- Mr. Hird said, we've working on some alternative
- approaches to moving this docket along, and we'd like
- to discuss those with you before we would actually
- propose them on the record.
- MS. McNEILL: Okay. Thank you for that.
- JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Well, we'll go off
- the record for a moment here, and Jan Von Qualen and

- <sup>1</sup> I will confer.
- 2 (Whereupon an off-the-record
- discussion transpired at this
- 4 time between Judges Albers and
- 5 Von Qualen.)
- JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.
- Well, we would suggest that the
- 9 parties go ahead and have their discussions, try to
- 9 get the contract before as many of the systems as
- possible, and we meet again on March 6th at 9:30 to
- see where things stand.
- Does that date and time pose a
- conflict for anyone?
- MR. KELLY: Your Honor, John Kelly on behalf of
- <sup>15</sup> CSI.
- I do have a conflict on the morning of
- March 6th. I would be okay in the afternoon, but I
- do have a previously scheduled 8 o'clock that I won't
- $^{19}$  be out of by 9:30.
- MR. HARVEY: One other thing, Your Honor.
- 21 Staff does have some additional discovery that we had
- discussed in anticipation of your order directing us

- to I guess get the lead out so to speak, and
- following the interest of saving some, you know, sort
- of probably in the interest of multi-tasking in this
- 4 case, we would ask leave to go ahead and issue that.
- MR. KELLY: Issue what?
- 6 MR. HARVEY: Additional discovery.
- 7 MR. HERTEL: Your Honor, this is Nancy Hertel
- 8 from AT&T Illinois.
- We hadn't thought of doing some fairly
- limited additional discovery. Our discovery would
- have been on some of the materials, the Edison
- materials that were being made by CSI, so I don't
- envision, since it's not admitted, you know, since
- it's denied at the moment, I'm not sure we're in a
- position to do discovery, but we would at least like
- to alert the ALJs to the fact that, you know, should
- that be material that they're going to introduce, we
- would have some discovery that we would want to do,
- <sup>19</sup> fairly limited.
- JUDGE ALBERS: We're not trying to control
- discovery. If there's questions that staff or others
- want to pose to CSI, please go ahead and do so.

- But as far as Wednesday morning, how
- about 1 o'clock on Wednesday, March 6th?
- MS. McNEILL: Your Honor, this is Megan McNeill
- for staff. I have another status at 1 o'clock.
- <sup>5</sup> Could we do 1:30?
- JUDGE ALBERS: That's fine with us.
- 7 MR. HIRD: This is Rick Hird, counsel for
- 8 NG-911, Inc.
- 9 1:30 on March 6th works fine for us.
- MR. KELLY: John Kelly on behalf of CSI.
- 1:30 works fine for me, and I
- <sup>12</sup> appreciate the indulgence.
- JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Well, it sounds like
- 1:30 on March 6th will work as a status hearing.
- 15 Is there anything else for today?
- All right. Hearing nothing further, I
- wish you all luck, and we'll continue this to
- <sup>18</sup> March 6th at 1:30.
- 19 (Whereupon the hearing was
- continued to March 6, 2013 at
- 1:30 p.m.)